## Laboratory Report

Title

表題

Conservation of Mechanical Energy

Homeroom	Section	Name	
11 K	1	氏名	Anna Takaki

### Summary

We examine the relationship between potential energy and kinetic energy and mecanical energy is conserved or not. In experiment 1, we changed the maximum height and compared with minimum height's potential energy. In experiment 2 and 3, we used spring and weight to examine the enegy We could know those evergys almost equal In conclusion, we could say that mechanical energy is conserved.

- · Meet a deadline · Write logically · Write clearly · Write with your own words
- ・締切り守って ・論理的に ・わかりやすく ・自分のことばで

Teacher Comments

Clear and beautiful tables and figures.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Due 提出期限	Summary 要旨	Intro. 序	Method. 方法	Results 結果	Table/Fig. 表/図	Discussion 考察	Clearness わかりやすさ	General 全般
+					4.5.0			63.0

Write your reporti Japanese or in English \* Use this form as a cover sheet.

<sup>\*</sup> Submit your reports by the seventh day after your lab.

## Results

Exp.1 Relationship between maximum height energy and minimum height of energy

<sup>\*\*</sup>error=(A-B)/A×100

	$h_1$	$A = mgh_1$	$h_0$	v	$mgh_0$	$\frac{1}{2}mv^2$	$B = mgh_0 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$	error
Exp.1	m	J	m	m/s	J	J	J	%
1	$32.1 \times 10^{-2}$	0.1038	$6.00 \times 10^{-2}$	2.19	0.0194	0.0791	0.0985	5.106
2	$24.4 \times 10^{-2}$	0.0789	$6.00 \times 10^{-2}$	1.90	0.0194	0.0596	0.079	-0.1267
3	$16.3 \times 10^{-2}$	0.0527	$6.00 \times 10^{-2}$	1.41	0.0194	0.0328	0.0522	0.9488
4	$4.60 \times 10^{-2}$	0.0149	$6.00 \times 10^{-2}$	0.50	0.0194	0.00413	0.0235	-57.72

<sup>\*\*</sup>Exp.1-4, we mistook calculating  $h_1$ , because it is impossible we get  $4.60 \times 10^{-2}$ , if we set  $h_0$  is  $6.00 \times 10^{-2}$  as the bottom.

Exp. 2 Determination of the spring constant from relationship between elongation and mass

Exp.2						
m [kg]	$0 \times 10^{-3}$	$50 \times 10^{-3}$	$100 \times 10^{-3}$	$150 \times 10^{-3}$	$200 \times 10^{-3}$	$250 \times 10^{-3}$
F (N)=mg	0	0.49	0.98	1.47	1.96	2.45
x [m]	$0 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.1 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.6 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.2 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.8 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.8 \times 10^{-2}$

<sup>\*\*</sup> $m = 33 \times 10^{-3} kg$ 

Exp.3 Relationship between spring and weight

\*\*  $0.49 = k \times 1.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ k} = 44.5454...$ 

Exp.3	Spr	ring	wei		
	x	$A = \frac{1}{2}kx^2$	ν	$B = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$	error
	m	J	m/s	J	%
1	$0.0 \times 10^{-2}$	0	0	0	0
2	$5.0 \times 10^{-2}$	0.0569	1.80	0.0534	6.15
3	$10.0 \times 10^{-2}$	0.228	3.32	0.182	20.2
4	$15.0 \times 10^{-2}$	0.512	3.43	0.194	62.1

#### Discussion

In the theory, we supposed to get the result that energy in the highest point in the rest equals to the sum of kinetic energy and potential energy in the move from Exp.1 (A=B; A is potential energy and B is kinetic energy). Our result was not equal completely, but there were 0.1% to 5% errors. Therefore, we could say that our experiments were succeed. I supposed that we got those little errors because of air resistance. From Exp.2 and Exp.3, we measured the spring's spring constant; k by using the result of Exp.2. Then we tried to prove that relationship of potential energy and kinetic energy. But we got big error in (3) and (4) of Exp.3. In the theory, we supposed to get A=B, but there were 20% to 60% errors.

# **Opinion**

It was difficult to use speed mater because we got different results each time we did. Therefore we had big errors in Exp.3. I thought that we should have measured several times to get more accurate results if we had time.

John

#### Reference

Ms. Airi Kitagawa (2017J)





